

The Debate about Evolution Is Over

Evolution has been proven not to apply to humans.

Science has shown that the theory of evolution cannot possibly apply to humans. For 60 years scientists in the field of population genetics have known that the human genome has been deteriorating for a very long time. According to evolutionary theory, the human genome should be gradually improving, perhaps bringing us a larger brain, etc., but that is not the case. One would logically expect that the long-term and extensive deterioration of the human genome would lead to an increase in genetic diseases, and that is exactly what public health reporting has shown.

It is a frightening thought to contemplate the possible end of our species through this genetic entropy process. It also means that scientists have wasted much time and money during that 60-year period that could have been better used to study ways to either minimize this inexorable deterioration or to treat the expected new waves of genetic diseases. Instead, they have continued to strongly promote their atheist theories of evolution, knowing all the while that their statements were demonstrably false.

Of course, much useful related progress has been made in recent decades because the human DNA has been sequenced and studied extensively. With this new information, some progress can be made on related medical science, and as a useful logical byproduct, the theory of evolution can be completely refuted, at least as to humans.

See John C. Sanford, *Genetic Entropy & The Mystery Of The Genome* (Waterloo, New York: FMS Publications, 2005, 2006, 2008)

<http://evolutionatbyu.com/Other/20130607Sanford,-Genetic-Entropy.pdf> for the 2005 edition.

See Carl Werner, *Evolution: The Great Experiment*, Volumes 1 "Fossils" and 2 "Living Fossils" (Green Forest, Arkansas: New Leaf Publishing Group, 2007)


<http://evolutionatbyu.com/Other/20130607Werner,-Evolution--The-Grand-Experiment--pp0-111.pdf>

<http://evolutionatbyu.com/Other/20130607Werner,-Evolution--The-Grand-Experiment--pp112-262.pdf>

Of special interest is the chart on page 128 showing a compilation of dinosaur fossils found in museums and digs. Large numbers of unique fossils have been found, but zero intermediate forms have been found linking known dinosaur fossils with earlier dinosaurs going back to a common ancestor as evolution theory proposes.

Public health reporting of Genetic diseases

1. We might start out with some of the most vivid and explosive news on the medical front, having to do with the exponential rise of autism, which has increased by 600% in the last two decades. The recent linking of autism to cancer genes appears to show that autism is a genetic disease.



Autism Prevalence On The Rise--There has been a 600% increase in prevalence over the last two decades.
<http://autismspeaksblog.files.wordpress.com/2010/10/prevalence-graph1.jpg>

What Is Autism? What is Autism Spectrum Disorder?

<http://www.autismspeaks.org/what-autism>

What is Causing the Increase in Autism Prevalence?

<http://blog.autismspeaks.org/2010/10/22/got-questions-answers-to-your-questions-from-the-autism-speaks%E2%80%99-science-staff-2/>

Autism Prevalence Is Now At 1 In 50 Children - Forbes.pdf

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/emilywillingham/2013/03/20/autism-prevalence-is-now-at-1-in-50-children/>

Autism's Unexpected Link to Cancer Genes

<http://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/13/health/autisms-unexpected-link-to-cancer-gene.html?src=recg&pagewanted=all>

2. The related, but slightly less extreme case of the continual increase in childhood cancer also deserves note.

Long-Term (1992–2009) Cancer Incidence Trends for All Racial and Ethnic Groups Combined

"Overall cancer incidence rates increased by 0.6% per year among children aged 0 to 14 years and by 0.7% per year among children aged 0 to 19 years from 2000 to 2009, continuing trends from 1992."

Annual Report to the Nation 1975–2009_ Q&A - National Cancer Institute.pdf

<http://www.cancer.gov/newscenter/newsfromnci/2013/ReportNationQA>

3. The more general case of the worldwide increase in chronic diseases, presumably genome related, with an annual increase of 0.71% projected for the foreseeable future, tells us where this worldwide effect is taking us.

Population Causes and Consequences of Leading Chronic Diseases: A Comparative Analysis of Prevailing Explanations, DAVID STUCKLER

http://people.ds.cam.ac.uk/ds450/details/Final_Milbank%20Quarterly.pdf

Epidemiology of Cardiovascular Disease

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK45688/>

4. Dr. Joseph Mastropaolo makes the argument that the biological scientists have been making the choice for the last 60 years to give priority to the continuance of their specific brand of atheistic evolution religion instead of using their scientific research skills to take some action concerning the huge number of genetic-related diseases that should be expected in the future. This behavior by scientists is bad science and bad ethics, and someone ought to somehow sound the alarm to wake up both the scientific community and the general populace.

Dr. Joseph Mastropaolo points out that, in a worst-case scenario, within 100 years, 100% of newborns would die from some genetic disease:

"In 1997 from genetic testing, the estimate was that everyone on average carried six genetic disorders. The extrapolation suggests that by 2033 the average for every man, woman and child may be 60 or more genetic disorders.

...

By 2085, it is estimated ($R^2 = 0.967$) there will be 100% human infant deaths attributed to birth defects (see Figure 6). That suggests that the genetic disorder saturation of each chromosome locus by 2031, and the supersaturation by 2096, will manifest 100% infant deaths from birth defects by 2085."

Biology Versus Evolution: Evolution Is Lethal Antiscience

www.josephmastropaolo.com/data3.html